

UNIVERSITY OF SWAZILAND

FINAL EXAMINATION PAPER 2011

TITLE OF PAPER : DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS
COURSE CODE : ST404
TIME ALLOWED : TWO (2) HOURS
REQUIREMENTS : CALCULATOR AND STATISTICAL TABLES
INSTRUCTIONS : ANSWER ANY THREE QUESTIONS

Question 1

[20 marks, 2+4+8+6]

In an industrial experiment, 5 batches of metal ingots were selected at random from the output of a production process; each batch contained 4 ingots. Different amounts of cadmium (*Cd*) and tin (*Sn*) were used in five treatments, as follows.

A	10% <i>Cd</i>	No <i>Sn</i>
B	20% <i>Cd</i>	No <i>Sn</i>
C	30% <i>Cd</i>	No <i>Sn</i>
D	10% <i>Cd</i>	10% <i>Sn</i>
E	30% <i>Cd</i>	10% <i>Sn</i>

Each ingot was melted and mixed with the appropriate amount of *Cd* and *Sn* and then allowed to cool. When the treated ingot was reheated, its melting point y ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) was recorded, as shown below.

Batch 1	A: 194	D: 205	E: 250	B: 214
Batch 2	B: 204	E: 243	D: 198	C: 238
Batch 3	D: 206	B: 205	C: 238	A: 186
Batch 4	A: 183	E: 247	B: 202	C: 229
Batch 5	E: 255	C: 244	D: 209	A: 198

- Explain fully the circumstances in which a balanced incomplete block design is appropriate. In the usual notation let v represent the number of treatments, b the number of blocks, r the number of replicates of each treatment and k the number of units in each block. Also let λ denote the number of times each pair of treatments occurs together in a block.
- State the values of v , b , r , k and λ in the above design.
- Obtain the analysis of variance for the data. State briefly your conclusions from this.
- Estimate the adjusted treatment means, compare these means and interpret your results.

Question 2

[20 marks, 3+3+4+3+3+4]

- The following table represents the treatment means from a 2×2 factorial experiment.

	B	
A	b_1	b_2
a_1	4	8
a_2	6	8

- What are the main effects of A ?
 - What is the interaction effect?
- In order to test the null hypothesis that a randomly chosen coin from my pocket is properly balanced (so that it comes up heads or tails with equal probability), I toss the coin 5 times and obtain 5 heads. What is the p-value associated with my null hypothesis?

- (c) Thirty trainees are randomly divided into three groups of 10 and each group is given instruction in the use of a different word-processing system. At the end of the training period, each trainee is given the same "benchmark" word-processing project to complete and the time required for completion is recorded. An ANOVA model will be used to test whether or not the mean time is the same for the three systems.
- What is the response variable here?
 - Identify the factor studies and the factor levels.
 - Is the factor experimental or a classification factor? Would the answer be different if each trainee had been allowed to select the word-processing system of his or her choice?

Question 3

[20 marks, 4+10+6]

An experiment is to be conducted involving five treatments A E, and there are enough units available to replicate each treatment five times. However, the experimenter can only deal with five units each day and therefore intends to spend five days on the experiment. There may be systematic differences between days, and also differences due to the order in which treatments are carried out each day

The experiment is finally carried out using the following plan, which also shows the measurement y obtained from each unit.

Order	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5
First	E: 8.21	D: 5.64	A: 6.52	B: 8.80	C: 6.18
Second	B: 8.55	E: 9.12	C: 5.97	D: 6.63	A: 6.96
Third	D: 6.06	A: 7.37	E: 8.58	C: 6.11	B: 8.95
Fourth	C: 6.34	B: 8.82	D: 6.70	A: 6.85	E: 8.87
Fifth	A: 7.89	C: 6.84	B: 9.03	E: 9.31	D: 6.85

- Explain briefly how you might attempt to check whether the assumptions required for an analysis of variance are satisfied.
- Construct the analysis of variance for these data.
- Find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means, \bar{y} , for treatments D and E.

Question 4

[20 marks, 8+8+4]

- A scientist believes that the concentration of a chemical in the root cells of a particular species of plant is linearly related to the quantity of an added soil nutrient. An experiment has been carried out using a completely randomised design, with four equally spaced levels of the nutrient and three varieties of the plant species. Two replicates were made, giving 24 observations altogether. The totals of the two replicates were as follows.

Variety	Nutrient Level			
	1	2	3	4
X	34	39	44	38
Y	26	37	41	35
Z	27	24	41	48

The sum of the squares of the 24 observations was 8202. Construct an analysis of variance. Assume no interaction between nutrient level and variety.

- (b) A summary of the numbers of patrons who responded to an advertised promotion in four beauty salons of a small chain of beauty salons on five randomly selected days are given in table 1. Test at the 0.05 level of significance the null hypothesis that the four beauty salons have the same number of responses to the advertised promotion.

Table 1: Sample parameters for the four beauty salons

Salon	Sample mean	Sample variance
1	76	31.5
2	73	32.5
3	78	81.0
4	68	19.5

- (c) In an experimental study of the efficacy of treatments for depression, patients attending a clinic were allocated at random to one of a number different drug treatments and other therapies. The response measurement was the decrease in Hamilton Rating Depression Score (HRDS) over a three month course of treatment. The data recorded are tabulated below; entries in the rows of the table are the decrease in HRDS for each treatment. The final group was treated using an inactive treatment (or placebo).


Group					
Therapy 1	5.20	3.65	7.03	5.63	4.57
Therapy 2	7.05	7.91	1.46	4.11	4.18
Drug A	4.71	3.75	2.85	4.89	6.09
Drug B	6.82	6.77	8.31	6.57	6.55
Placebo	5.13	6.07	3.55	5.69	3.97

What kind of design is being used in this study? Identify the *factor* being investigated, and for each factor state the number of levels that that factor has. Is the study *balanced*? Is it *complete*? Justify your answers.

TABLE A-1
Cumulative Standardized Normal Distribution

$A(z)$ is the integral of the standardized normal distribution from $-\infty$ to z (in other words, the area under the curve to the left of z). It gives the probability of a normal random variable not being more than z standard deviations above its mean. Values of z of particular importance:


z	$A(z)$	Lower limit of right 5% tail
1.645	0.9500	Lower limit of right 5% tail
1.960	0.9750	Lower limit of right 2.5% tail
2.326	0.9900	Lower limit of right 1% tail
2.576	0.9950	Lower limit of right 0.5% tail
3.090	0.9990	Lower limit of right 0.1% tail
3.291	0.9995	Lower limit of right 0.05% tail



z	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	0.5000	0.5040	0.5080	0.5120	0.5160	0.5199	0.5239	0.5279	0.5319	0.5359
0.1	0.5398	0.5438	0.5478	0.5517	0.5557	0.5596	0.5636	0.5675	0.5714	0.5753
0.2	0.5793	0.5833	0.5871	0.5910	0.5948	0.5987	0.6026	0.6064	0.6103	0.6141
0.3	0.6179	0.6217	0.6255	0.6293	0.6331	0.6368	0.6406	0.6443	0.6480	0.6517
0.4	0.6554	0.6591	0.6628	0.6666	0.6704	0.6741	0.6779	0.6816	0.6854	0.6891
0.5	0.6915	0.6950	0.6985	0.7019	0.7054	0.7088	0.7123	0.7157	0.7190	0.7224
0.6	0.7257	0.7291	0.7324	0.7357	0.7389	0.7421	0.7454	0.7486	0.7517	0.7549
0.7	0.7580	0.7611	0.7642	0.7673	0.7704	0.7734	0.7764	0.7794	0.7823	0.7852
0.8	0.7881	0.7910	0.7939	0.7967	0.7995	0.8023	0.8051	0.8078	0.8106	0.8133
0.9	0.8159	0.8186	0.8212	0.8238	0.8264	0.8289	0.8315	0.8340	0.8365	0.8389
1.0	0.8415	0.8438	0.8461	0.8485	0.8508	0.8531	0.8554	0.8577	0.8599	0.8621
1.1	0.8643	0.8665	0.8686	0.8708	0.8729	0.8749	0.8770	0.8790	0.8810	0.8830
1.2	0.8849	0.8869	0.8888	0.8907	0.8925	0.8944	0.8962	0.8980	0.8997	0.9015
1.3	0.9032	0.9049	0.9066	0.9082	0.9099	0.9115	0.9131	0.9147	0.9162	0.9177
1.4	0.9192	0.9207	0.9222	0.9236	0.9251	0.9265	0.9279	0.9292	0.9306	0.9319
1.5	0.9332	0.9345	0.9357	0.9370	0.9382	0.9394	0.9406	0.9418	0.9429	0.9441
1.6	0.9452	0.9463	0.9474	0.9484	0.9495	0.9505	0.9515	0.9525	0.9535	0.9545
1.7	0.9554	0.9564	0.9573	0.9582	0.9591	0.9599	0.9608	0.9616	0.9625	0.9633
1.8	0.9641	0.9649	0.9656	0.9664	0.9671	0.9678	0.9686	0.9693	0.9699	0.9706
1.9	0.9713	0.9719	0.9726	0.9732	0.9738	0.9744	0.9750	0.9756	0.9761	0.9767
2.0	0.9772	0.9778	0.9783	0.9788	0.9793	0.9798	0.9803	0.9808	0.9812	0.9817
2.1	0.9821	0.9826	0.9830	0.9834	0.9838	0.9842	0.9846	0.9850	0.9854	0.9857
2.2	0.9861	0.9864	0.9868	0.9871	0.9875	0.9878	0.9881	0.9884	0.9887	0.9890
2.3	0.9893	0.9896	0.9898	0.9901	0.9904	0.9906	0.9909	0.9911	0.9913	0.9916
2.4	0.9918	0.9920	0.9922	0.9925	0.9927	0.9929	0.9931	0.9932	0.9934	0.9936
2.5	0.9938	0.9940	0.9941	0.9943	0.9945	0.9946	0.9948	0.9949	0.9951	0.9952
2.6	0.9953	0.9955	0.9956	0.9957	0.9959	0.9960	0.9961	0.9962	0.9963	0.9964
2.7	0.9965	0.9966	0.9967	0.9968	0.9969	0.9970	0.9971	0.9972	0.9973	0.9974
2.8	0.9974	0.9975	0.9976	0.9977	0.9977	0.9978	0.9979	0.9980	0.9981	0.9981
2.9	0.9981	0.9982	0.9982	0.9983	0.9984	0.9985	0.9985	0.9986	0.9986	0.9986
3.0	0.9987	0.9987	0.9988	0.9988	0.9989	0.9989	0.9990	0.9990	0.9990	0.9990
3.1	0.9990	0.9991	0.9991	0.9991	0.9992	0.9992	0.9992	0.9992	0.9993	0.9993
3.2	0.9993	0.9993	0.9994	0.9994	0.9994	0.9995	0.9995	0.9995	0.9995	0.9995
3.3	0.9995	0.9995	0.9995	0.9996	0.9996	0.9996	0.9996	0.9996	0.9997	0.9997
3.4	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9998
3.5	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998
3.6	0.9998	0.9998	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999

Percentage Points of the t -Distribution

This table gives the percentage points $t_{\nu}(P)$ for various values of P and degrees of freedom ν , as indicated by the figure to the right.



The lower percentage points are given by symmetry as $-t_{\nu}(P)$, and the probability that $|t| \geq t_{\nu}(P)$ is $2P/100$.

The limiting distribution of t as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ is the normal distribution with zero mean and unit variance.


ν	Percentage points P									
	10	5	2.5	1	0.5	0.1	0.05			
1	3.078	6.314	12.706	31.821	63.657	318.309	636.619			
2	1.886	2.920	4.303	6.965	9.925	22.327	31.599			
3	1.638	2.353	3.182	4.541	5.841	10.215	12.924			
4	1.533	2.132	2.776	3.747	4.604	7.173	8.610			
5	1.476	2.015	2.571	3.365	4.032	5.893	6.869			
6	1.440	1.943	2.447	3.143	3.707	5.208	5.959			
7	1.415	1.895	2.365	2.998	3.499	4.785	5.408			
8	1.397	1.860	2.306	2.896	3.355	4.501	5.041			
9	1.383	1.833	2.262	2.821	3.250	4.297	4.781			
10	1.372	1.812	2.228	2.764	3.169	4.144	4.587			
11	1.363	1.796	2.201	2.718	3.106	4.025	4.437			
12	1.356	1.782	2.179	2.681	3.055	3.930	4.318			
13	1.350	1.771	2.160	2.650	3.012	3.852	4.221			
14	1.345	1.761	2.145	2.624	2.977	3.787	4.140			
15	1.341	1.753	2.131	2.602	2.947	3.733	4.073			
16	1.337	1.746	2.120	2.583	2.921	3.686	4.015			
18	1.330	1.734	2.101	2.552	2.878	3.610	3.922			
21	1.323	1.721	2.080	2.518	2.831	3.527	3.819			
25	1.316	1.708	2.060	2.485	2.787	3.450	3.725			
30	1.310	1.697	2.042	2.457	2.750	3.385	3.646			
40	1.303	1.684	2.021	2.423	2.704	3.307	3.551			
50	1.299	1.676	2.009	2.403	2.678	3.261	3.496			
70	1.294	1.667	1.994	2.381	2.648	3.211	3.435			
100	1.290	1.660	1.984	2.364	2.626	3.174	3.390			
∞	1.282	1.645	1.960	2.326	2.576	3.090	3.291			

Percentage Points of the χ^2 -Distribution

This table gives the percentage points $\chi^2(P)$ for various values of P and degrees of freedom ν , as indicated by the figure to the right.

If X is a variable distributed as χ^2 with ν degrees of freedom, $P/100$ is the probability that $X \geq \chi^2(P)$.

For $\nu > 100$, $\sqrt{2X}$ is approximately normally distributed with mean $\sqrt{2\nu} - 1$ and unit variance.




ν	Percentage points P									
	10	5	2.5	1	0.5	0.1	0.05			
1	2.706	3.841	5.024	6.635	7.879	10.828	12.116			
2	4.605	5.991	7.378	9.210	10.597	13.816	15.202			
3	6.251	7.815	9.348	11.345	12.838	16.296	17.730			
4	7.779	9.488	11.143	13.277	14.860	18.467	19.997			
5	9.236	11.070	12.833	15.086	16.750	20.515	22.105			
6	10.645	12.592	14.449	16.812	18.548	22.458	24.103			
7	12.017	14.067	16.013	18.475	20.278	24.322	26.018			
8	13.362	15.507	17.535	20.090	21.955	26.124	27.868			
9	14.684	16.919	19.023	21.666	23.589	27.877	29.666			
10	15.987	18.307	20.483	23.209	25.188	29.588	31.420			
11	17.275	19.675	21.920	24.725	26.757	31.264	33.137			
12	18.549	21.026	23.337	26.217	28.300	32.909	34.821			
13	19.812	22.362	24.736	27.688	29.819	34.528	36.478			
14	21.064	23.685	26.119	29.141	31.319	36.123	38.109			
15	22.307	24.996	27.488	30.578	32.801	37.697	39.719			
16	23.542	26.296	28.845	32.000	34.267	39.252	41.308			
17	24.769	27.587	30.191	33.409	35.718	40.790	42.879			
18	25.989	28.869	31.526	34.805	37.156	42.312	44.434			
19	27.204	30.144	32.852	36.191	38.582	43.820	45.973			
20	28.412	31.410	34.170	37.566	39.997	45.315	47.498			
25	34.382	37.652	40.646	44.314	46.928	52.620	54.947			
30	40.256	43.773	46.979	50.892	53.672	59.703	62.162			
40	51.805	55.758	59.342	63.691	66.766	73.402	76.095			
50	63.167	67.505	71.420	76.154	79.490	86.661	89.561			
80	96.578	101.879	106.629	112.329	116.321	124.839	128.261			

5 Percent Points of the F -Distribution

This table gives the percentage points $F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}(P)$ for $P = 0.05$ and degrees of freedom ν_1, ν_2 , as indicated by the figure to the right.

The lower percentage points, that is the values $F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{-1}(P)$ such that the probability that $F \leq F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{-1}(P)$ is equal to $P/100$, may be found using the formula

$$F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{-1}(P) = 1/F_{\nu_2, \nu_1}(P)$$




ν_2	ν_1										∞
	1	2	3	4	5	6	12	24	48	96	
2	18.513	19.000	19.164	19.247	19.296	19.330	19.413	19.454	19.496		
3	10.128	9.552	9.277	9.117	9.013	8.941	8.745	8.639	8.526		
4	7.709	6.944	6.591	6.388	6.256	6.163	5.912	5.774	5.628		
5	6.608	5.786	5.409	5.192	5.050	4.950	4.678	4.527	4.365		
6	5.987	5.143	4.757	4.534	4.387	4.284	4.000	3.841	3.669		
7	5.591	4.737	4.347	4.120	3.972	3.866	3.575	3.410	3.230		
8	5.318	4.459	4.066	3.838	3.687	3.581	3.284	3.115	2.928		
9	5.117	4.256	3.863	3.633	3.482	3.374	3.073	2.900	2.707		
10	4.965	4.103	3.708	3.478	3.326	3.217	2.913	2.737	2.538		
11	4.844	3.982	3.587	3.357	3.204	3.095	2.788	2.609	2.404		
12	4.747	3.885	3.490	3.259	3.106	2.996	2.687	2.505	2.296		
13	4.667	3.806	3.411	3.179	3.025	2.915	2.604	2.420	2.206		
14	4.600	3.739	3.344	3.112	2.958	2.848	2.534	2.349	2.131		
15	4.543	3.682	3.287	3.056	2.901	2.790	2.475	2.288	2.066		
16	4.494	3.634	3.239	3.007	2.852	2.741	2.425	2.235	2.010		
17	4.451	3.592	3.197	2.965	2.810	2.699	2.381	2.190	1.960		
18	4.414	3.555	3.160	2.928	2.773	2.661	2.342	2.150	1.917		
19	4.381	3.522	3.127	2.895	2.740	2.628	2.308	2.114	1.878		
20	4.351	3.493	3.098	2.866	2.711	2.599	2.278	2.082	1.843		
25	4.242	3.385	2.991	2.759	2.603	2.490	2.165	1.964	1.711		
30	4.171	3.316	2.922	2.690	2.534	2.421	2.092	1.887	1.622		
40	4.085	3.232	2.839	2.606	2.449	2.336	2.003	1.793	1.509		
50	4.034	3.183	2.790	2.557	2.400	2.286	1.952	1.737	1.438		
100	3.936	3.087	2.696	2.463	2.305	2.191	1.850	1.627	1.283		
∞	3.841	2.996	2.605	2.372	2.214	2.099	1.752	1.517	1.002		

10 Percent Points of the F-Distribution

This table gives the percentage points $F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}(P)$ for $P = 0.10$ and degrees of freedom ν_1, ν_2 , as indicated by the figure to the right.

The lower percentage points, that is the values $F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}(P)$ such that the probability that $F \leq F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}(P)$ is equal to $P/100$, may be found using the formula

$$F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}(P) = 1/F_{\nu_2, \nu_1}(P)$$



ν_2	1	2	3	4	5	6	12	24	∞
2	8.526	9.000	9.162	9.243	9.293	9.326	9.408	9.450	9.491
3	5.538	5.462	5.391	5.343	5.309	5.285	5.216	5.176	5.134
4	4.545	4.325	4.191	4.107	4.051	4.010	3.896	3.831	3.761
5	4.060	3.780	3.619	3.520	3.453	3.405	3.268	3.191	3.105
6	3.776	3.463	3.289	3.181	3.108	3.055	2.905	2.818	2.722
7	3.589	3.257	3.074	2.961	2.883	2.827	2.668	2.575	2.471
8	3.458	3.113	2.924	2.806	2.726	2.668	2.502	2.404	2.293
9	3.360	3.006	2.813	2.693	2.611	2.551	2.379	2.277	2.159
10	3.285	2.924	2.728	2.605	2.522	2.461	2.284	2.178	2.055
11	3.225	2.860	2.660	2.536	2.451	2.389	2.209	2.100	1.972
12	3.177	2.807	2.606	2.480	2.394	2.331	2.147	2.036	1.904
13	3.136	2.763	2.560	2.434	2.347	2.283	2.097	1.983	1.846
14	3.102	2.726	2.522	2.395	2.307	2.243	2.054	1.938	1.797
15	3.073	2.695	2.490	2.361	2.273	2.208	2.017	1.899	1.755
16	3.048	2.668	2.462	2.333	2.244	2.178	1.985	1.866	1.718
17	3.026	2.645	2.437	2.308	2.218	2.152	1.958	1.836	1.686
18	3.007	2.624	2.416	2.286	2.196	2.130	1.933	1.810	1.657
19	2.990	2.606	2.397	2.266	2.176	2.109	1.912	1.787	1.631
20	2.975	2.589	2.380	2.249	2.158	2.091	1.892	1.767	1.607
25	2.918	2.528	2.317	2.184	2.092	2.024	1.820	1.689	1.518
30	2.881	2.489	2.276	2.142	2.049	1.980	1.773	1.638	1.456
40	2.835	2.440	2.226	2.091	1.997	1.927	1.715	1.574	1.377
50	2.809	2.412	2.197	2.061	1.966	1.895	1.680	1.536	1.327
100	2.756	2.356	2.139	2.002	1.906	1.834	1.612	1.460	1.214
∞	2.706	2.303	2.084	1.945	1.847	1.774	1.546	1.383	1.002

TABLE A.4 Studentized Range Statistic

Error df (df within)	K = Number of Means or Number of Steps Between Ordered Means										
	α	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
5	.05	3.64	4.60	5.22	5.67	6.03	6.33	6.58	6.80	6.99	7.17
	.01	5.70	6.98	7.80	8.42	8.91	9.32	9.67	9.97	10.24	10.48
6	.05	3.46	4.34	4.90	5.30	5.63	5.90	6.12	6.32	6.49	6.65
	.01	5.24	6.33	7.03	7.56	7.97	8.32	8.61	8.87	9.10	9.30
7	.05	3.34	4.16	4.68	5.06	5.36	5.61	5.82	6.00	6.16	6.30
	.01	4.95	5.92	6.54	7.01	7.37	7.68	7.94	8.17	8.37	8.55
8	.05	3.26	4.04	4.53	4.89	5.17	5.40	5.60	5.77	5.92	6.05
	.01	4.75	5.64	6.20	6.62	6.96	7.24	7.47	7.68	7.86	8.03
9	.05	3.20	3.95	4.41	4.76	5.02	5.24	5.43	5.59	5.74	5.87
	.01	4.60	5.43	5.96	6.35	6.66	6.91	7.13	7.33	7.49	7.65
10	.05	3.15	3.88	4.33	4.65	4.91	5.12	5.30	5.46	5.62	5.72
	.01	4.48	5.27	5.77	6.14	6.43	6.67	6.87	7.05	7.21	7.36
11	.05	3.11	3.82	4.25	4.57	4.82	5.03	5.20	5.35	5.49	5.61
	.01	4.39	5.15	5.62	5.97	6.25	6.48	6.67	6.84	6.99	7.13
12	.05	3.08	3.77	4.20	4.51	4.75	4.95	5.12	5.27	5.39	5.51
	.01	4.32	5.05	5.50	5.84	6.10	6.32	6.51	6.67	6.81	6.94
13	.05	3.06	3.73	4.15	4.45	4.69	4.88	5.05	5.19	5.32	5.43
	.01	4.26	4.96	5.40	5.73	5.98	6.19	6.37	6.53	6.67	6.79
14	.05	3.03	3.70	4.11	4.41	4.64	4.83	4.99	5.13	5.25	5.36
	.01	4.21	4.89	5.32	5.63	5.88	6.08	6.26	6.41	6.54	6.66
15	.05	3.01	3.67	4.08	4.37	4.59	4.78	4.94	5.08	5.20	5.31
	.01	4.17	4.84	5.25	5.56	5.80	5.99	6.16	6.31	6.44	6.55
16	.05	3.00	3.65	4.05	4.33	4.56	4.74	4.90	5.03	5.15	5.26
	.01	4.13	4.79	5.19	5.49	5.72	5.92	6.08	6.22	6.35	6.46
17	.05	2.98	3.63	4.02	4.30	4.52	4.70	4.86	4.99	5.11	5.21
	.01	4.10	4.74	5.14	5.43	5.66	5.85	6.01	6.15	6.27	6.38
18	.05	2.97	3.61	4.00	4.28	4.49	4.67	4.82	4.96	5.07	5.17
	.01	4.07	4.70	5.09	5.38	5.60	5.79	5.94	6.08	6.20	6.31
19	.05	2.96	3.59	3.98	4.25	4.47	4.65	4.79	4.92	5.04	5.14
	.01	4.05	4.67	5.05	5.33	5.55	5.73	5.89	6.02	6.14	6.25
20	.05	2.95	3.58	3.96	4.23	4.45	4.62	4.77	4.90	5.01	5.11
	.01	4.02	4.64	5.02	5.29	5.51	5.69	5.84	5.97	6.09	6.19
24	.05	2.92	3.53	3.90	4.17	4.37	4.54	4.68	4.81	4.92	5.01
	.01	3.96	4.55	4.91	5.17	5.37	5.54	5.69	5.81	5.92	6.02
30	.05	2.89	3.49	3.85	4.10	4.30	4.46	4.60	4.72	4.82	4.92
	.01	3.89	4.45	4.80	5.05	5.24	5.40	5.54	5.65	5.76	5.85
40	.05	2.86	3.44	3.79	4.04	4.23	4.39	4.52	4.63	4.73	4.82
	.01	3.82	4.37	4.70	4.93	5.11	5.26	5.39	5.50	5.60	5.69
60	.05	2.83	3.40	3.74	3.98	4.16	4.31	4.44	4.55	4.65	4.73
	.01	3.76	4.28	4.59	4.82	4.99	5.13	5.25	5.36	5.45	5.53
120	.05	2.80	3.36	3.68	3.92	4.10	4.24	4.36	4.47	4.56	4.64
	.01	3.70	4.20	4.50	4.71	4.87	5.01	5.12	5.21	5.30	5.37
∞	.05	2.77	3.31	3.63	3.86	4.03	4.17	4.29	4.39	4.47	4.55
	.01	3.64	4.12	4.40	4.60	4.76	4.88	4.99	5.08	5.16	5.23